arXiv:1402.3741v2 [math.CO] 18 Jun 2015

On path-cycle decompositions of triangle-free graphs

Andrea Jiménez [*1] and Yoshiko Wakabayashi [†2]

[1] CIMFAV, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile
[2]Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil

June 19, 2015

Abstract

In this work, we study conditions for the existence of length-constrained path-cycle decompositions, that is, partitions of edge sets of a graph into paths and cycles of a given minimum length. Our main contribution is the characterization of the class of all triangle-free graphs with odd distance at least 3 that admit a path-cycle decomposition with elements of length at least 4. As a consequence, it follows that Gallai's conjecture on path decomposition holds in a broad class of sparse graphs.

Keywords: path decomposition; cycle decomposition; length constraint; odd-distance; triangle-free; Conjecture of Gallai.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are simple, that is, without loops and multiple edges. As usual, for a graph G, we denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ its vertex set and edge set, respectively. A collection of subgraphs $\mathcal{D}$ of G is called a *decomposition of* G if each edge of G is contained in exactly one subgraph of $\mathcal{D}$. If a decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of G consists only of paths (resp. cycles), then we say that it is a *path decomposition* (resp. *cycle decomposition*) of G, and if it consists of paths and cycles, then we say that it is a *path-cycle decomposition*.

About fifty years ago, according to Lovász [5], Gallai conjectured the following bound on the cardinality of a path decomposition of a connected graph:

[*]andrea.jimenez@uv.cl — Partially supported by CONICYT: FONDECYT/POSTDOCTORADO 3150673 and PAI/ACADEMIA 79112030, and Nucleo Milenio Información y Coordinación en Redes ICM/FIC RC130003, Chile. Supported by a fellowship from FAPESP-Brazil Proc. 2011/19978-5 when this work was elaborated.

[†]yw@ime.usp.br — Partially supported by FAPESP Proc. 2013/03447-6, CNPq (Proc. 303987/2010-3, 477203/2012-4, 456792/2014-7) and Project MaCLinC of NUMEC/USP.

Gallai's Conjecture. *Every connected graph G on n vertices has a path decomposition of cardinality at most $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.*

Despite many efforts and attempts [1, 2, 4, 5, 6] to prove Gallai's conjecture, it remains unsolved. The earliest and major progress towards this conjecture was made by Lovász. In [5], he proved that every graph on n vertices has a path-cycle decomposition of cardinality at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Lovász's result implies that graphs with at most one even vertex satisfy Gallai's conjecture; an *even vertex* (resp. *odd vertex*) is a vertex with even degree (resp. odd degree). As Lovász's work suggests, it seems to be particularly difficult to guarantee the validity of Gallai's conjecture on graphs with many even vertices. Indeed, the broadest subclass of Eulerian graphs, excluding the complete graphs on an odd number of vertices, for which the conjecture is known to be true is the one composed of graphs of maximum degree 4 [3]. Along with many other classes of graphs for which Gallai's conjecture is not known to be true, we find the class of planar graphs. This is quite surprising if we consider that Hajós' conjecture, which states that every Eulerian graph on n vertices has a cycle decomposition of cardinality at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, has been positively settled for planar graphs [7].

According to the previous paragraph, our work contributes in several directions. Nevertheless, it is interesting by its own right. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the set of paths and cycles of length (number of edges) at least 4. Our main result is the characterization of a class of graphs with large number of even vertices that have a decomposition into copies of graphs from $\mathcal{F}$. We call those graphs *hanging-square graphs*; which among others, satisfy Gallai's conjecture and can be recognized in polynomial time. As a consequence, we can guarantee that Gallai's conjecture holds on graphs with large number of even vertices and linear number of edges. In particular, we verify the conjecture for a subclass of planar graphs. Further, we believe that this work contributes with a substantial step towards showing that Gallai's conjecture holds on the class of planar graphs. In the following subsection, we formalize our results.

Contributions

The *odd distance* of a graph G is the minimum distance between any pair of odd vertices of G. A path-cycle decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of G is called a *4-pc decomposition* if every element of $\mathcal{D}$ has length at least 4; in other words, a 4-pc decomposition is a decomposition into copies of graphs from $\mathcal{F}$. We recall that a graph is *triangle-free* if it does not have cycles of length 3.

We focus our studies on the following set of graphs:

$$\mathcal{G} := \{G : G \text{ is a connected triangle-free graph with odd distance at least 3}\}.$$

We note that $\mathcal{G}$ corresponds to the family of graphs that can be obtained from any triangle-free Eulerian graph by removing a matching M (possibly empty) that satisfies the following

property: for every pair $e, e' \in M$, the minimum distance between the end vertices of e and e' is at least 3.

The main contributions of this work are the next theorem and some of its consequences. (For the definitions of *hanging-square graph* and *skeleton*, see Section 2.)

Theorem 1. *A graph in $\mathcal{G}$ has a 4-pc decomposition if and only if it is not a hanging-square graph.*

As a corollary, we have the following statements.

Corollary 2. *Every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ on n vertices with at most $4\lceil n/2 \rceil$ edges has a path decomposition into at most $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ paths.*

Since a planar triangle-free graph on n vertices has at most $2n - 4$ edges, the next result follows immediately from Corollary 2.

Corollary 3. *Every planar graph in $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies Gallai's conjecture.*

As we will see, the class of hanging square graphs can be defined recursively, and for that, we define first the subclass of such graphs that are acyclic, and name them skeletons. For them, the following holds.

Corollary 4. *Every tree in $\mathcal{G}$ either has a decomposition into paths of length at least 4 or is a skeleton.*

We observe that the skeletons can be recognized in polynomial time. Thus, in view of the above corollary, the *certificate* that a tree is a skeleton (its *building sequence*, as we will define) can be seen as a short certificate that it cannot be decomposed into paths of length at least 4. At the end of Section 5, we discuss a polynomial time strategy of recognition of hanging-square graphs.

Organization of the paper

In Section 2, we define the class of skeletons and hanging-square graphs. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. In Subsection 3.5 we show Corollary 2. Section 4 contains several properties regarding hanging-square graphs. Finally, Section 5 contains some concluding remarks.

2 Hanging-square graphs

Throughout this paper, we denote a path of length k (number of its edges) by a sequence of its vertices, as for example, $P = x_0x_1 \cdots x_k$ and say that it is a *k-path*.

In the following, we introduce a class of trees, called *skeletons*. For that, we consider k-paths $x_0 \cdots x_k$, with $k \in \{3, 4, 6\}$, and for each $k \in \{4, 6\}$, we say that (the middle vertex) $x_{\frac{k}{2}}$ is the *joint* of P.

Definition 1 (Skeletons)**.** *A* skeleton *is a tree T that admits a black-red coloring λ of $V(T)$, a sequence $T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_t$ of trees, and a sequence $P_1, \ldots, P_t$ of paths, each of which is a 4-path or a 6-path, such that:*

- *T_0 is a 3-path, $T_t = T$, and for $i \in [t]$ the tree T_i is obtained from T_{i-1} by adding P_i so that the joint of P_i is identified with a vertex of T_{i-1};*
- *for $T_0 = x_0x_1x_2x_3$, we have $\lambda(x_0) = \lambda(x_3) =$ black and $\lambda(x_1) = \lambda(x_2) =$ red;*
- *for each $P_i = x_0 \cdots x_k$, $i \in [t]$, it holds that $\lambda(x_0) = \lambda(x_k) =$ black, $\lambda(x_1) = \lambda(x_{k-1}) =$ red, if $k = 4$, then $x_{\frac{k}{2}} =$ red, and if $k = 6$, then $x_{\frac{k}{2}} =$ black and $\lambda(x_2) = \lambda(x_4) =$ red.*

In Figure 1 we show an example of a skeleton T, obtained from a sequence $T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_7 = T$.

The following observation helps understanding skeletons.

Observation 1. *Let T be a skeleton and λ, λ' be colorings of $V(T)$ as in Definition 1. Then, $\lambda = \lambda'$, the set of odd vertices of T is $\{v : \lambda(v) =$ black$\}$ and the set of even vertices of T is $\{v : \lambda(v) =$ red$\}$.*

The sequence $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_t$, where $P_0 = T_0$, is called a *building sequence* of T and each P_i a *building path*. We might denote the skeleton T by its building sequence $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_t$. Every time we consider a skeleton T, we implicitly assume that it comes with a coloring λ, as defined above.

We refer to each 3-path of T colored black-red-red-black as a *brrb-path*. Those paths play a fundamental role throughout this work. In particular, the following holds: for every brrb-path P in T, there is a building sequence of T that starts at P (see Proposition 6).

For simplicity, we may refer to a cycle of length 4 as a *square*.

Definition 2 (Bunch of squares)**.** *Let $k \geq 1$. A* bunch B of k squares *(or simply, a* bunch*) is a graph obtained from the union of k pairwise edge-disjoint squares, say $Q_1, \ldots, Q_k$, such that each of these squares contains two non-adjacent vertices a, b, and $V(Q_i) \cap V(Q_j) = \{a, b\}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.*

The common non-adjacent vertices a, b of a bunch are called *joints*. In the case that the bunch is a square (namely, k=1 in Definition 2) there are two pairs of joints. For consistency, we consider only one of them as joints. Thus, each bunch has exactly one pair of joints.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a set of bunches. We say that a bunch $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with joints a, b is *maximal* if there is no $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ distinct of B with joints a, b.



Figure 1: Construction of a skeleton T obtained from the sequence $T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_7 = T$ and an example of a hanging square graph H with skeleton T.

Definition 3 (Hanging-square graphs)**.** *A graph H is a hanging-square graph if it is the union of a skeleton T_H and a set $\mathcal{B}$ of maximal bunches so that the following holds.*

(i) For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, at least one joint of B is identified with vertices of T_H; accordingly, if only one joint is identified, then we refer to B as a 1-bunch *and if two joints are identified, then we refer to B as a* 2-bunch*. Moreover, if $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $V(B) \cap V(B') \neq \emptyset$, then $V(B) \cap V(B') \subseteq V(T_H)$.*

(ii) If B is a 2-bunch, then there exists a brrb-path $P_B = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ in T_H such that either x_0, x_2, or x_1, x_3 are the joints of B. Moreover, if $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $B \neq B'$, then $P_B \neq P_{B'}$. We say that the path P_B is occupied *at x_0, x_2 (or at x_1, x_3) by B. Each brrb-path is occupied either at none, or at 2 vertices.*

(iii) For each brrb-path $P_B = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ occupied at x_0, x_2 (symmetric condition in the case that P_B is occupied at x_1, x_3), it holds that $d_H(x_1) = 2$ and for $H' = H - E(B)$ exactly one of the following properties is satisfied.

1. *$d_{H'}(x_0) \geq 1$, $d_{H'}(x_2) = 2$ and $d_{H'}(x_3) \geq 1$*
2. *$d_{H'}(x_0) = 1$, $d_{H'}(x_2) > 2$ and $d_{H'}(x_3) \geq 1$.*

In other words, item (iii) says that x_1 is neither the joint of a 1-bunch, nor the joint of a building path of T_H, and that joints of 1-bunches and joints of building paths of T_H may correspond either to x_0 and x_3, or to x_2 and x_3 (namely, such joints cannot simultaneously correspond to x_0 and x_2).

Observation 2 follows from Definition 3.

Observation 2. *If H is a hanging-square graph, then H has a path-cycle decomposition in which exactly one path has length 3, and all the other paths and cycles have length 4 or 6.*

From Observation 2 (or using a result of Pyber [6]) we can conclude that the following holds.

Proposition 5. *Hanging-square graphs satisfy Gallai's conjecture.*

3 Proof of the main results

In this section we discuss the proof of Theorem 1 and also of Corollary 2. The main result of this work (Theorem 1) is a characterization of the class of connected triangle-free graphs with odd distance at least 3 having no 4-pc decompositions. Recall that a 4-pc decomposition is a decomposition into paths and cycles whose lengths are at least 4. We prove that such class is exactly the class of all hanging-square graphs.

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the following three facts:

Fact 1. A minimum counterexample to Theorem 1 has no cycles.

Fact 2. Each tree of odd distance at least 3 is either a skeleton, or has a 4-*pc* decomposition.

Fact 3. Hanging-square graphs do not admit a 4-pc decomposition.

Facts 1, 2 and 3 are the statements of Lemma 7, Theorem 8 and Proposition 9, respectively, which are shown in the Subsections 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, respectively.

Unsurprisingly, the proof of Theorem 1 (specifically, the proof of Fact 1) needs the use of some technical results regarding the structure of the hanging-square graphs. However, one does not need to know the statements of these results to follow the proof of Theorem 1. For this reason, we postpone the presentation of these statements and their proofs to Section 4.

Before we start showing the three facts mentioned above, we introduce some notions and results that are helpful in the proofs. This is the aim of Section 3.1.

3.1 Properties of skeletons

To simplify notation, if E' is a a subset of the edge set of a graph G, we denote by $G - E'$ the graph obtained from G by first removing the edges from E' and then deleting all isolated

vertices. Consistently, whenever we refer to the deletion of an edge set of a graph, we also consider that the resulting graph has no isolated vertices.

Observation 3. *Let T be a skeleton with coloring λ. Each vertex $v \in V(T)$ such that $\lambda(v)$ is red has a neighbor u such that $\lambda(u)$ is black.*

Proof. Let $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ be a building sequence of T. We proceed by induction on t. For $t = 0$ the statement trivially holds. We assume that $t > 0$. Suppose P_t is a 4-path. The joint of P_t is red and is a vertex of the skeleton $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{t-1}$; thus, by induction hypothesis the joint has a neighbor that is black. If P_t is a 6-path, the proof follows by induction hypothesis and as a direct consequence of the coloring of P_t. □

Let P be a building path of a skeleton; that is, a 4-path or a 6-path. We write $P = P' \sqcup \tilde{P}$ if P', $\tilde{P}$ is a decomposition of P into two paths of equal length. Note that both, P' and $\tilde{P}$, have as an end vertex the joint of P.

Observation 4. *Let T be a skeleton. Then each edge of T belongs to a brrb-path P.*

Proof. Let λ be the coloring of T and $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ be a building sequence of T such that $P_i = P'_i \sqcup \tilde{P}_i$. Let $e \in E(T)$. If $e \in E(P_0)$, then $P = P_0$. If $e \in P_i$ for some i, and P_i is a 6-path, then $P \in \{P'_i, \tilde{P}_i\}$. If P_i is a 4-path, according to the proof of Observation 3, the joint v of P_i is adjacent to a vertex u (that belongs to the skeleton $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{i-1}$) such that $\lambda(u)$ is black. In this case, $P \in \{P'_i \cup vu, \tilde{P}_i \cup vu\}$. □

The following is a key property that will be used many times throughout this paper.

Proposition 6. *Let T be a skeleton. For every brrb-path P in T, there is a building sequence of T that starts at P.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|E(T)|$. In case that T is a 3-path the result is trivial. Assume $|E(T)| > 3$ and let $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ be a building sequence of T. By induction hypothesis, the statement holds for the skeleton T' with building sequence $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{t-1}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the statement in the case that P is a brrb-path of T such that $E(P) \cap E(P_t) \neq \emptyset$; otherwise, the result follows directly from the induction hypothesis.

We suppose first that $P_t = P'_t \sqcup \tilde{P}_t$ is a 6-path. Then, P is either P'_t or $\tilde{P}_t$. Without loss of generality, let $P = P'_t$. Let v denote the joint of P_t. Given that v is a black vertex in T', by Observation 4, T' contains a 3-path P' with end vertex v. By induction hypothesis, T' has a building sequence that starts at P', say $P', P'_1, \ldots, P'_{t-1}$. Hence, $P'_t, (P' \cup \tilde{P}_t), P'_1, \ldots, P'_{t-1}$ is a building sequence of T.

Secondly, we suppose that $P_t = P'_t \sqcup \tilde{P}_t$ is a 4-path and let v be its joint. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $P = P'_t \cup vu$, for some neighbor u of v in T'. As before, by

Observation 4 and induction hypothesis, there exists a brrb-path P'' containing the edge vu, and furthermore P'' is the starting path of a building sequence of T', say $P'', P'_1, \ldots, P'_{t-1}$. Hence, $(P'_t \cup vu), ([P'' - vu] \cup \tilde{P}_t), P'_1, \ldots, P'_{t-1}$ is a building sequence of T. □

The following result is not needed in what follows, but it is a noteworthy property of skeletons that can be easily read from the proof of Proposition 6.

Observation. *If T is a skeleton, then all building sequences of T have the same number of building paths.*

3.2 Minimum counterexample argument

In this subsection we study the properties of a minimum counterexample to Theorem 1. In Lemma 7, we state that a minimum counterexample to Theorem 1 has to be a tree. As we already mentioned, the proof of this lemma is based on the results that will be presented in Section 4. Recall that $\mathcal{G}$ denotes the set of all connected, triangle-free graphs with odd distance at least 3. From now on, we may denote the number of edges of a graph G by $\ell(G)$.

Lemma 7. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. If G is an edge-minimum graph that neither belongs to the set of hanging-square graphs nor has a 4-pc decomposition, then G is a tree.*

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that G has a cycle. Since G does not have a 4-pc decomposition, for every cycle C of G, the graph $G - E(C)$ consists of at least one component, and by the minimality of G at least one component of $G - E(C)$ is a hanging-square graph. Recall that if $E' \subset E(G)$, we denote by $G - E'$ the graph obtained from G by first removing the edges from E' and then deleting all isolated vertices. We analyze two cases.

Case 1. There exists a cycle C in G such that $G - E(C)$ has exactly one component.

Let $H = G - E(C)$. By the observation above, H is a hanging-square graph. We claim that C has length 4. Indeed, if the length of C is at least 5, by Lemma 12 we have that $G = C \cup H$ has a 4-pc decomposition, a contradiction.

Suppose that there exists a square Q in H (such square is part of a bunch or it is a bunch itself). We have that $G - E(Q)$ either has exactly one component or, is formed by two components, namely, C and $H - E(Q)$. In the case that $G - E(Q)$ is formed by two components, by Lemma 13 we have that G has a 4-pc decomposition, again a contradiction. In the case that $G - E(Q)$ has one component, say H', given that G does not have a 4-pc decomposition, we have that H' is a hanging-square graph, but then by Lemma 18 there exists a 4-pc decomposition of G, a contradiction.

Thus, we conclude that H has no squares, and therefore $H = T_H$ (that is, H is a skeleton). It holds that if P is the last path in some building sequence of T_H, then either $(H - E(P)) \cup C$

is a hanging-square graph or $(H - E(P)) \cup C$ has two connected components; namely, $H - E(P)$ and C. Such a last path always exists, unless H is simply a 3-path, in which case $H \cup C$ is a hanging-square graph (since $H \cup C \in \mathcal{G}$), a contradiction. Hence, by Lemma 14 we have that $G = H \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition, again a contradiction. Summarizing, we conclude that *Case 1* leads to a contradiction.

Case 2. The deletion of the edge set of any cycle gives at least two components.

Let C be a cycle of G of minimum length and let $H = G - E(C)$.

We first suppose that one of the components of H, say K, is not a hanging-square graph. By the minimality of G, graph K has a 4-pc decomposition. Let $H' = G - E(K)$. Since G is a minimum counterexample, H' is a hanging-square graph. Moreover, since $H' - E(C)$ is connected, H has exactly two components, namely K and $H' - E(C)$, and since H' is a hanging-square graph, C is a square of H'. In addition, given that C disconnects G, we have $V(K) \cap V(H') \subset V_c$, where $V_c = V(C) \setminus V(T_{H'})$. Let us consider a 4-pc decomposition of K such that all its paths have length at most 7. Let D be an element of such a 4-pc decomposition that contains a vertex of C. If D is a cycle, then by Lemma 13 we have that the graph $H' \cup D$ has a 4-pc decomposition. If D is a path, then Lemma 15 provides a 4-pc decomposition of $H' \cup D$; both cases yield a contradiction.

Hence, we conclude that all components of H are hanging-square graphs.

Let $\{H_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ denote the set of all components of H. We first assume that for some $i \in [k]$, the graph H_i has a square Q. By assumption (Case 2), the deletion of $E(Q)$ yields at least 2 components, thus, $V(H_i) \cap V(C) \subset V_q$, where $V_q = V(Q) \setminus V(T_{H_i})$ and H_i does not contain further squares.

Let P_0 be a brrb-path such that $|V(P_0) \cap V(Q)|$ is maximum; if Q is a 2-bunch we let P_0 be the brrb-path occupied by Q, otherwise, we just let P_0 be any of the brrb-paths that contain the joint of Q. Suppose that T_{H_i} has a building sequence starting at P_0 and ending at P such that $P_0 \neq P$. Since $V(H_i) \cap V(C) \subset V_q$, the graph $G - E(P)$ is connected and, because of our assumption, it is not a hanging-square graph. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - E(P)$ has a 4-pc decomposition. But this yields a 4-pc decomposition of G, a contradiction. Therefore, H_i is the union of P_0 and a square Q and $G - E(Q)$ has exactly two components, P_0 and $\tilde{H}$ (with C a cycle in $\tilde{H}$). If $\tilde{H}$ is not a hanging-square graph, then we proceed as in the previous argument (with $K = \tilde{H}$) to obtain a 4-pc decomposition of G and thus, a contradiction. Therefore, we can assume that $\tilde{H}$ is a hanging-square graph and C is a square of $\tilde{H}$. Using the same argument as before, $\tilde{H}$ is the union of a 3-path $\tilde{P}$ and a square C. By Lemma 16, we have that there is a 4-pc decomposition of $H_i \cup \tilde{H}$ and therefore of G, a contradiction.

Finally, we are left with the case that H_i is a skeleton for each $i \in [k]$. Suppose that for some

$i \in [k]$, H_i is not a 3-path. Let P be the last path of a building sequence of H_i. If $(H_i \cup C) - E(P)$ is connected, then $G - E(P)$ is connected and is not a hanging-square graph. Thus, $G - E(P)$ has a 4-pc decomposition, and so does G, a contradiction. Then, $(H_i \cup C) - E(P)$ is not connected for all such paths. This implies that $V(C) \cap V(H_i) \subset V(P) \setminus \{v\}$, where v is the joint of P. Now, let $v' \in V(C) \cap V(H_i)$ and $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ be a building sequence of H_i such that $v' \in P_0$. Since $v' \notin V(P_t) \setminus \{v''\}$, where v'' is the joint of P_t, we have that $(H_i \cup C) - E(P_t)$ is connected. This contradicts the previous assertion.

Thus, for every $i \in [k]$, H_i is a 3-path. Note that the degree in G of a vertex in C is in $\{2, 3, 4\}$. Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_t$ be the subsequence of vertices of (the sequence that defines) C that have degrees in $\{3, 4\}$. Assume first that every component intersects C in exactly one vertex, and denote by H_i the 3-path that contains vertex x_i. Furthermore, denote by $C(i, j)$ a path in C with end vertices x_i, x_j. We need one more notation: we denote by L_i and S_i the longest and the shortest, respectively, subpaths in H_i with end vertex x_i. We decompose G into paths $P'_i, i \in [t]$, where $P'_i = L_i \cup C(i, i+1) \cup S_{i+1}$ for all $i \in [t-1]$ and $P_t = L_t \cup C(t, 1) \cup S_1$. From Observation 5 (see below) it follows that the length of each path $P_i, i \in [t]$, is at least 4.

Observation 5. *The degrees in G of two adjacent vertices in C is neither 3, 3 nor 3, 4, because this would imply that there is a pair of odd vertices at distance 1 and 2, respectively.*

It remains to analyze the case that there is a *brrb*-path $\tilde{H}$ that intersects C in at least 2 vertices. If C contains both internal vertices of $\tilde{H}$, then there exists in G a cycle shorter than C, a contradiction. If C contains an internal and an end vertex of $\tilde{H}$, then $\tilde{H} \cup C$ is a hanging-square graph, because in this case the length of C is necessarily 4 (otherwise there would be a shorter cycle). Let v be a vertex of C that has degree 2 in $\tilde{H} \cup C$ (since C has length 4, there are two such vertices), by Observation 5 the vertex v has degree 2 in G as well. Then, $G = \tilde{H} \cup C$, a contradiction. If C contains both ends of $\tilde{H}$, then necessarily C has length 6 and again by Observation 5 we have that $G = \tilde{H} \cup C$, a contradiction, as this implies that G has a 4-pc decomposition. This completes the proof that G is a tree. □

3.3 Trees of odd distance 3

In this subsection we complete one direction of the proof of Theorem 1. In Subsection 3.2, we proved that a minimum counterexample to Theorem 1 does not have cycles. We now prove that the set of skeletons correspond to the set of trees in $\mathcal{G}$ that do not have a 4-pc decomposition.

Before proving the main result of this subsection, it is convenient to make the following observation.

Observation 6. *Let T be a skeleton and u be an odd degree vertex of T. By Observation 4 and Proposition 6, there exists a brrb-path P with end vertex u such that P is the starting path*

of a building sequence of T. Therefore, the graph $T \cup uv$, where v is a vertex not in $V(T)$, has a 4-pc decomposition, which is given by $P \cup uv$ and the set of paths of the aforementioned building sequence of T.

Theorem 8. *Let T be a tree in $\mathcal{G}$. Then T has a 4-pc decomposition or is a skeleton.*

Proof. If T is a minimum counterexample to Theorem 8, then the following two properties hold.

Prop.1: *T contains no leaf v such that the minimum distance from v to any other odd vertex of T is at least 4.* Indeed, if such a leaf v exists, then the tree $T - vu$, where u is the only neighbor of v, has odd distance at least 3. By the minimality of T, we conclude that $T - vu$ has a 4-pc decomposition or is a skeleton. In the former case, since u is odd in $T - vu$, we can trivially extend the 4-pc decomposition of $T - vu$ to one of T, a contradiction. If $T - vu$ is a skeleton, by Observation 6, T has a 4-pc decomposition, again a contradiction.

Prop.2: *T contains no path P of length at least 4 such that $T - E(P)$ is connected and both leaves of P have degree 1 in T.* Indeed, if such a path P exists, then $T - E(P)$ is a tree of odd distance at least 3. If $T - E(P)$ has a 4-*pc* decomposition, clearly T also has such a decomposition, a contradiction. Thus, by the minimality of T, we conclude that $T - E(P)$ is a skeleton, in which case, by Lemma 17, we have that T has a 4-pc decomposition, a contradiction.

Let v_0 be a leaf of T and v_3 be an odd vertex of T at distance 3 from v_0 in T (by *Prop.1*, such vertices always exist). Let $P' = v_0v_1v_2v_3$ denote the 3-path of T with end vertices v_0, v_3. The graph $T - E(P')$ has at most 3 components, say H_1, H_2 and H_3, containing v_1, v_2, and v_3, respectively. Make $H_i = \emptyset$ if H_i does not exist.

We first suppose that all these existing components have a 4-pc decomposition. If there are at least two such components, then $P' \cup H_i$ is a skeleton for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$; this follows because $P' \cup H_i$ has fewer edges than T and if it had a 4-pc decomposition, then T would have a 4-pc decomposition as well, a contradiction. But this means that $P' \cup H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3$ is a skeleton. So, there must be only one component, say H_i. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_k$ be a 4-pc decomposition of H_i such that $P' \cap D_1 \neq \emptyset$ and both ends of D_k are leaves of H_i. Then, given that $k \geq 1$, D_k is a path that violates *Prop.2*, again a contradiction. We finally suppose that one of the components, say H_j, is a skeleton. By *Prop.2* and minimality of T, the deletion (from T) of each path of any building sequence of H_j disconnects T, but this is not possible unless the set of building paths is empty, which is a contradiction. □

3.4 Hanging-square graphs do not have 4-pc decompositions

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 1. More precisely, we show the following result.

Proposition 9. *If H is a hanging-square graph, then H does not have a 4-pc decomposition.*

Proof. Let H be a minimum counterexample to the statement. Let T_H denote the skeleton of H and $\mathcal{B}_i$ denote the set of (maximal) i-bunches of squares of H for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ (see Definition 3). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a 4-pc decomposition of H. Clearly, $|E(H)| > 3$.

We note that if $\mathcal{D}$ contains a cycle C, then $\mathcal{D} \setminus \{C\}$ is a 4-pc decomposition of $H - E(C)$, which is a hanging-square graph, a contradiction to the minimality of H. Thus, we assume that $\mathcal{D}$ consists only of paths.

Recall that by Proposition 6, for each brrb-path P of T_H, there exists a building sequence of T_H that starts at P.

We first prove the following:

Claim 1. *For each brrb-path P and each edge $e \in E(P)$, the graph $H - e$ has at least one component, say H', with at most 3 edges.*

Proof of Claim 1. By contradiction, suppose that there exists a brrb-path P and an edge $e \in E(P)$ such that the components H', H'' of $H - e$ satisfy

$$|E(H')| \geq 4 \text{ and } |E(H'')| \geq 4. \tag{1}$$

We show that this situation yields a contradiction. We have that $H' \cup P$ and $H'' \cup P$ are hanging-square graphs with fewer than $|E(H)|$ edges. Then, by the minimality of H, neither $H' \cup P$, nor $H'' \cup P$ has a 4-pc decomposition. Let $P(e) \in \mathcal{D}$ denote the path that covers e; recall that $\mathcal{D}$ is a 4-pc decomposition of H which consists only of paths. If $H' \cup P$ contains at least 4 edges from $P(e)$, then the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to $H' \cup P$ is a 4-pc decomposition of $H' \cup P$, a contradiction. Then, we can assume that each of the hanging-square graphs $H' \cup P$ and $H'' \cup P$ have at most 3 edges from $P(e)$. Let $P = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ and suppose that $e = x_0x_1$, H' contains x_0 and H'' contains x_1, x_2, x_3. If no edge of $P(e)$ belongs to $E(H')$, then $H'' \cup P$ contains all edges of $P(e)$, a contradiction. Therefore, at least one edge from $P(e)$ belongs to $E(H')$. It implies that the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to H' consists of a set of paths of length at least 4, say $\mathcal{P}'$, and a path Q of length at least 1 with end vertex x_0. Therefore $\mathcal{P}' \cup \{Q \cup P\}$ is a 4-pc decomposition of $H' \cup P$, a contradiction to the minimality of H. So, we now assume that $e = x_1x_2$, H' contains x_0, x_1 and H'' contains x_2, x_3. We note that the condition $e = x_1x_2$ implies that P is not occupied at x_0, x_2. Without loss of generality, suppose that H' has at least 2 edges from $P(e)$. Then, the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to H' consists of a set of paths of length at least 4, say $\mathcal{P}'$, and a path Q of length at least 2 with end vertex x_1. Therefore $\mathcal{P}' \cup \{Q \cup \{x_1x_2, x_2x_3\}\}$ is a 4-pc decomposition of $H' \cup P$, again a contradiction to the minimality of H. Then, both H', H'' have exactly one edge from $P(e)$. Therefore, $P(e)$ has length 3, a contradiction. □

By Claim 1, for each brrb-path $P = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ of T_H and each building sequence $P, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ of T_H, there exists exactly one vertex $v \in \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ such that v is the joint of a building path or of a 1-bunch. Note that in the case that P is occupied at x_0, x_2 (resp. at x_1, x_3), by definition of hanging-square graphs, x_0 and x_2 (resp. x_1 and x_3) cannot (simultaneously) be joints.

Let us consider a building sequence $P, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ of T_H. Without loss of generality we suppose that if P is occupied, it is occupied at x_0, x_2 (the case that H_0 is occupied at x_1, x_3 is analogous).

Assume that x_2 (resp. x_0) is the joint of a building path. Hence, neither a building path, nor a 1-bunch has a joint in $\{x_0, x_1, x_3\}$ (resp. $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$). Assume that there exists $i^* \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that P_{i^*} has joint x_2 (resp. x_0) and at least one vertex $u \in V(P_{i^*}) \setminus \{x_2\}$ (resp. $u \in V(P_{i^*}) \setminus \{x_0\}$) is the joint of a building path, or of a 1-bunch. Let $P_{i^*} = P' \sqcup P''$ and suppose that $u \in V(P')$. If P_{i^*} is a 4-path (resp. P_{i^*} is a 6-path and P' is not occupied), then the path $P' \cup x_2x_3$ (resp. P') is a brrb-path and it contains an edge e such that its deletion creates exactly two components satisfying (1), which contradicts Claim 1. Now suppose P_{i^*} is a 6-path and P' is occupied. First of all, note that if the 2-bunch which occupies P' does not have x_0 as a joint, then we again have a contradiction to Claim 1. Hence, one of the joints of the 2-bunch which occupies P' is x_0. Then, by definition of hanging-square graphs, the joint u must be the common end vertex of P_{i^*} and P', hence the path P' contains an edge such that its deletion creates exactly two components satisfying (1), again contradicting Claim 1.

In conclusion, we have that H has the following structure: if there exists $v \in \{x_0, x_2\}$ such that v is the joint of a building path or of a 1-bunch, then every building path of H and every 1-bunch of H has joint v and every 2-bunch has v as a joint.

If no vertex in $\{x_0, x_2\}$ is the joint of a building path or of a 1-bunch, then a longest path in H has length at most 4 and hence, $\mathcal{D}$ consists of paths of length 4. But this implies that $|E(H)|$ is even, a contradiction. Thus, we can assume that v always exists.

Suppose $v = x_2$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ be the path that contains the edge x_2x_3. We have that $|E(Q)| = 4$, since the longest path in H that contains x_2x_3 has length 4. Further, Q contains either edges of a 1-bunch or of a 2-bunch, and in either case the deletion of $E(Q)$ generates a hanging-square graph, a contradiction to the minimality of H.

Finally, suppose $v = x_0$. Since v is an odd degree vertex, there exists a path $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ that ends at v. Let H' denote the subgraph of H consisting of P and its 2-bunches. Without loss of generality we assume that Q is in H'. But if so then, the longest path in H' ending at x_0 has length 3, a contradiction. □

3.5 Proof of Corollary 2

We need the following two lemmas to complete the proof of Corollary 2. The proof of Lemma 10 can be found in [4] (Lemma 4.1).

Lemma 10. *Let C be a cycle and P be a path of length at most 7. If $V(C) \cap V(P) \neq \emptyset$, $E(C) \cap E(P) = \emptyset$ and $C \cup P$ is triangle-free, then $C \cup P$ has a decomposition into two paths.*

Let P be a path and $x, y \in V(P)$, where x is not necessarily distinct of y. We denote by $P(x, y)$ the subpath in P with end vertices x, y. Let C be a cycle and $x, y \in V(P)$, where x is distinct of y. We denote by $C(x, y)$ one of the two subpaths in C with end vertices x, y.

Lemma 11. *Let C and C' be cycles of length at least 4 and at most 7. If $V(C) \cap V(C') \neq \emptyset$, $E(C) \cap E(C') = \emptyset$ and $C \cup C'$ is triangle-free, then $C \cup C'$ has a decomposition into paths of length at least 4.*

Proof. We claim that $|V(C) \cap V(C')| \leq 4$. Otherwise, there are three consecutive vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 in $V(C)$ that belong to $V(C')$ and since $C \cup C'$ is triangle-free, the distance between v_1 and v_2, and between v_2 and v_3, in C', is at least 3. Since the length of C' is at most 7, we have that v_1v_3 is an edge of C', and then, $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a triangle of $C \cup C'$, a contradiction.

In the case that $|V(C) \cap V(C')| = 1$, we can trivially find a decomposition of $C \cup C'$ into two paths of length at least 4. We now suppose that $|V(C) \cap V(C')| \in \{2, 3\}$. Let $u, v \in V(C) \cap V(C')$ such that uv is not an edge of $C \cup C'$ and let P and P' be the paths contained in C and C', respectively, such that u, v are its end vertices and

$$V(C) \cap V(C') \cap V(P) = V(C) \cap V(C') \cap V(P') = \{u, v\}.$$

Then, we can find a decomposition of $C \cup C'$ into two paths of length at least 4 with end vertices w, w', where $w \in V(P) \setminus \{u, v\}$ and $w' \in V(P') \setminus \{u, v\}$. We now suppose that $|V(C) \cap V(C')| = 4$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that there are u, $v \in V(C) \cap V(C')$ such that uv is an edge of C, and therefore the distance between u and v in C' is at least 3, since $C \cup C'$ is triangle-free. This implies that $\ell(C), \ell(C') \geq 6$; recall that $\ell(*)$ is the number of edges of $*$. Without loss of generality, given that $|V(C) \cap V(C')| = 4$, we can assume that there exists $v' \in V(C') \setminus \{u, v\}$ such that $v' \in V(C) \cap V(C')$ and vv' is an edge of C'; thus, the distance between v and v' in C is at least 3, since $C \cup C'$ is triangle-free. Then, we can write $C = uv \cup C(v, v') \cup C(v', u)$ and $C' = vv' \cup C'(v', u) \cup C'(u, v)$, where each subpath $C(v, v')$, $C'(u, v)$ has length at least 3 and each subpath $C(v', u)$, $C'(v', u)$ has length at least 2 (the subpaths are depicted in Figure 2(a)). It remains to consider one vertex from $V(C) \cap V(C')$. Let u' denote such a vertex. We first suppose that u' is an internal vertex of $C'(u, v')$. If u' is an internal vertex of $C(u, v')$ as well, then, since $\ell(C), \ell(C') \leq 7$, we have that $C \cup C'$ has a triangle, a contradiction. If u'



(a) (b)

Figure 2: Cycle C is black and cycle C' is red. Solid lines denote edges and dashed lines are paths of length at least 2 or edges.

is an internal vertex of $C(v, v')$, then $\ell(C) = \ell(C') = 7$; otherwise, there exists a triangle. Moreover, the paths $C(v', u')$ and $C'(v', u')$ in C and C', respectively with end vertices v', u' and internal vertices not in $V(C) \cap V(C')$ have length at least 2. We can take internal vertices $w \in V(C(v', u'))$ and $w' \in V(C'(v', u'))$ and decompose $C \cup C'$ into two paths, both with end vertices w, w' (see Figure 2(b)). The case that u' is an internal vertex of $C(v, v')$ and $C'(u, v)$ follows analogously. □

Proof of Corollary 2. Let G be a graph in $\mathcal{G}$ on n vertices with $|E(G)| \leq 4\lceil n/2 \rceil$. By Theorem 1, G admits a 4-pc decomposition, say $\mathcal{D}$, and $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is maximal with respect to the number of paths. If $\mathcal{D}$ consists only of cycles, then either G is a cycle, in which case the result follows, or $\mathcal{D}$ consists of at least two cycles. Then, then there are two cycles C, C' in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $V(C) \cap V(C') \neq \emptyset$, $E(C) \cap E(C') = \emptyset$ and $C \cup C'$ is triangle-free. Thus, if the length of the cycles is at most 7, then the result follows by Lemma 11. If not, then at least one of the cycles has length at least 8, which contradicts the maximality on the number of paths of $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore, we can assume that $\mathcal{D}$ contains at least one path. Thus, by Lemma 10, we obtain the desired result. □

4 Properties of hanging-square graphs

This section addresses properties of hanging-square graphs. These properties were used in the proof of the main results, but some of them are interesting by their own right. Recall that if H is a hanging-square graph, then T_H is its skeleton (see Definitions 1 and 3).

Lemma 12. *Let H be a hanging-square graph and C be a cycle of length at least 5 such that $E(H) \cap E(C) = \emptyset$ and $V(T_H) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$. If $H \cup C \in \mathcal{G}$, then $H \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition.*

Proof. Let λ be the coloring of T_H and $v \in V(T_H) \cap V(C)$. If $\lambda(v)$ is black, then by Observation 4 there exists a brrb-path P such that v is an end vertex of P. Moreover, by Proposition 6, P is

the starting path of some building sequence of T_H. Hence, it suffices to prove that $P \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition. Let v' be a neighbor of v in C. Then, $v' \notin V(P)$, because $d_o(P \cup C) \geq 3$, and thus, $P \cup vv'$, $C - vv'$ are paths of length at least 4 that decompose $P \cup C$. We now suppose that $\lambda(v)$ is red. Again by Observation 4 and Proposition 6, there exists a brrb-path P containing v that is the starting path of some building sequence of T_H. In this case, we have that v is an internal vertex of P. We can assume that C does not intersect the ends of P, otherwise we use the previous case to complete the proof. We decompose $P \cup C$ into two paths of length at least 4 in the following way. Let vx be the edge of P such that $\lambda(x)$ is black and let $vv'u$ be a path of length 2 in C. Given that C does not intersect the ends of P and that $P \cup C$ is triangle-free, both $(P - xv) \cup vv'u$ and $(C - vv'u) \cup xv$ are paths of length at least 4. □

Lemma 13. *Let H be a hanging-square graph, Q be a square of H, and C be a cycle of length at least 4 such that $E(H) \cap E(C) = \emptyset$ and $V_q \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$, where $V_q = V(Q) \setminus V(T_H)$. If $H \cup C \in \mathcal{G}$, then $H \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition.*

Proof. Let λ be the coloring of T_H. We split the proof into two cases.

Case $V(Q) \cap V(T_H) = \{v\}$. Let $Q(v, v')$ be a shortest path in Q connecting v and $v' \in V_q \cap V(C)$. As before, by Observation 4 and Proposition 6, if $\lambda(v)$ is black (resp. red), then there exists a brrb-path P that has v as an end (resp. internal) vertex and P is the starting path of some building sequence of T_H. Hence, it suffices to show that we can find a 4-pc decomposition of $P \cup Q \cup C$.

Firstly, we suppose that $V(C) \cap V(P) = \emptyset$. In the case that $\lambda(v)$ is black (resp. red), we define the 4-path $P' = Q(v, v') \cup P_c$ (resp. $P' = xv \cup Q(v, v') \cup P_c$, where x is the end vertex of P adjacent to v), where P_c is a path in C starting at v' such that $\ell(Q(v, v') \cup P_c) = 4$ (resp. $\ell(xv \cup Q(v, v') \cup P_c) = 4$). Since $|Q(v, v')| \in \{1, 2\}$ (resp. $|xv \cup Q(v, v')| \in \{2, 3\}$), the path P_c is not trivial and has length at most 3. It remains to show how to decompose $(P \cup Q \cup C) - E(P')$. Since $|V_q| = 3$, then $|V_q \cap V(C)| \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If $|V_q \cap V(C)| = 1$, then $(P \cup Q \cup C) - E(P')$ is a path of length at least 4. If $|V_q \cap V(C)| = 2$, then $(P \cup Q \cup C) - E(P')$ is the edge-disjoint union of a path of length at least 4 and a cycle of length at least 4, since $H \cup C$ is triangle-free. Finally, if $|V_q \cap V(C)| = 3$, then $(P \cup Q \cup C) - E(P')$ is the edge-disjoint union of a path and two cycles, each of length at least 4.

Secondly, we suppose that $V(C) \cap V(P) \neq \emptyset$. If $\ell(C) \geq 5$, then the result holds by Lemma 12. We assume that C has length 4. Let $P = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ (we know that either $v = x_0$, or $v = x_2$). Moreover, $|V_q \cap V(C)| \leq 2$. If $|V_q \cap V(C)| = \{u, w\}$, with $u \neq w$, then necessarily u and w are adjacent to v and $|V(C) \cap V(P)| = 1$. Let $V(C) \cap V(P) = \{z\}$. If $\lambda(v)$ is black, then $z = x_2$. If $\lambda(v)$ is red, then $z = x_0$. In the first case we decompose $P \cup Q \cup C$ into two paths, with one of them defined by $x_1x_2x_3 \cup zu \cup C(u, w) \cup wu'$, where $C(u, w)$ is such that $z \notin \{u, w\}$ and

$u' \in V_q$. In the second case we decompose $P \cup Q \cup C$ into two paths, with one of them defined by x_0ux_2wu', where $u' \in V_q$. We now study the case that $|V_q \cap V(C)| = \{u\}$. If u is adjacent to v, then $v \notin V(C) \cap V(P)$. Moreover, $|V(C) \cap V(P)| = 1$. Let $V(C) \cap V(P) = \{z\}$. For each choice of v in $\{x_0, x_2\}$ we have that $P \cup Q \cup C$ can be decomposed into a cycle and a path, with the cycle defined by $uv \cup C(u,z) \cup C(z,v)$, where $uv \in E(Q)$ and $C(u,z)$ is a shortest path in C (with end vertices u and z).

We are left with the case that u is not adjacent to v. We have $v \notin V(C) \cap V(P)$ and $|V(C) \cap V(P)| \leq 2$. We first suppose that $|V(C) \cap V(P)| = 2$. Let $Q(u,v)$ be a path in Q with end vertices u, v, and $C(x_1, x_3)$ be a path in C with end vertices x_1, x_3. We have that for either choice of v, $V(C) \cap V(P) = \{x_1, x_3\}$, and we can decompose $P \cup Q \cup C$ into a cycle and a path, where the cycle is defined by $Q(u,v) \cup ux_3 \cup C(x_1,x_3) \cup vx_1$, in the case that $v = x_0$, and by $x_1u \cup Q(u,v) \cup vx_3 \cup C(x_1,x_3)$, in the case that $v = x_2$. Finally, let $V(C) \cap V(P) = \{z\}$. For either choice of v, we can decompose $P \cup Q \cup C$ into a cycle and a path, where the cycle is defined by $Q(u,v) \cup C(u,z) \cup P(v,z)$, and $C(u,z)$ is a shortest path in C (with end vertices u, z).

Case $V(Q) \cap V(T_H) = \{v, u\}$, $v \neq u$. By Definition 3 and Observation 4, there exists a brrb-path P with v as an end vertex, u an internal vertex and such that v and u are non-adjacent (in other words, P is occupied at v, u). By Proposition 6, P is the starting path of some building sequence of T_H. As before, it suffices to show that $P \cup Q \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition. If $V(C) \cap V(P) \neq \emptyset$, then the result follows from the previous case by interchanging the roles of C and Q. Hence, we assume that $V(C) \cap V(P) = \emptyset$. If $V_q \cap V(C) = \{v'\}$, then $P \cup vv' \cup vu'$, $(C - v'u') \cup (Q - vv')$, where u' is a neighbor of v' in C, is a 4-pc decomposition of $P \cup Q \cup C$. Assume $V_q \cap V(C) = \{v', \tilde{v}\}$, $v' \neq \tilde{v}$. Let x denote the black neighbor of u in P and $P_{v',\tilde{v}}$ be a path in C with end vertices v', $\tilde{v}$. We can decompose $P \cup Q \cup C$ into a path given by $xu \cup uv' \cup P(v', \tilde{v}) \cup \tilde{v}v$ of length at least 4 and a cycle of length 4. □

Lemma 14. *Let T be a skeleton and P be the last path in some building sequence of T. Moreover, let C be a square such that $E(T) \cap E(C) = \emptyset$, $V(T) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $T \cup C \in \mathcal{G}$ is not a hanging-square. In the following two cases $T \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition.*

1. *$[T - E(P)] \cup C$ is a hanging-square graph.*
2. *$[T - E(P)] \cup C$ consists of two connected components, namely $T - E(P)$ and C.*

Proof. Let λ be the coloring of T and v be the joint of P. We analyze cases *1* and *2* separately. *Case 1.* Assume that $[T - E(P)] \cup C$ is a hanging-square graph. Let λ' be the coloring of $[T - E(P)] \cup C$. Observe that since $T \cup C$ is not a hanging-square graph, then one of the following two situations occurs:

(a) $\lambda'(v)$ is white and $\lambda(v) \in$ {black, red}, or



Figure 3: Proof of Lemma 14, Case 1. In all figures, possibly $v \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Recall that $P = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ and $P = P' \sqcup \tilde{P}_0$. In the figures, P' has ends x'_0, v and $\tilde{P}$ has ends $\tilde{x}_0$, v. Moreover, the length of P' and $\tilde{P}$ is either 2 or 3 depending on whether P is a 4-path or a 6-path.

(b) $[V(P) \setminus \{v\}] \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$.

We can assume that there exists a brrb-path $P_0 = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ such that $E(P_0) \cap E(P) = \emptyset$ and P is the last path of a building sequence starting at P_0. Moreover, we can assume that if C is a 1-bunch with joint u, then $V(P_0) \cap V(C) = \{u\}$. Otherwise, P_0 is occupied at x_0, x_2 by C in $[T - E(P)] \cup C$.

Suppose that (a) occurs. Then, either P is a 4-path with joint x_1, or there exists another building path $P' \neq P$ of T with joint $v' \in \{x_0, x_2\}$ such that $v \neq v'$.

In the first case we can easily see that $P_0 \cup P \cup C$ can be decomposed into two paths of length at least 4. In the second case, it is routine to check that $P_0 \cup P \cup C \cup P'$ can be decomposed into 3 paths of length at least 4. We note that cases (a) and (b) cannot happen simultaneously since $T \cup C$ is triangle-free and $d_o(T \cup C) \geq 3$. We now suppose that only (b) occurs. Let us suppose that C is a 1-bunch and its joint u is in $\{x_0, x_2\}$. The situation $v = u$ cannot occur since $T \cup C$ is not a hanging-square graph. All possible cases are worked out in Figure 3. Hence, it remains to analyze the case that P_0 is occupied at x_0, x_2 by C. But in this case, P would intersect $V_c = V(C) \setminus V(P_0)$, which yields a contradiction to $d_o(T \cup C) \geq 3$. Hence, the result follows.

Case 2. Assume that $[T-E(P)] \cup C$ consists of exactly two connected components, namely $T-E(P)$ and C. We have that all internal vertices of P but its joint v have degree 2 in H, the ends of P have degree 1 in H and $V(C) \cap V(T) \subset V(P) \setminus \{v\}$. If P is a 6-path (resp. 4-path), then $\lambda(v)$ is black (resp. red) and there exists a brrb-path P_0 that is the starting path for some building sequence of $T - E(P)$ and such that v is an end (resp. internal) vertex of P_0. In both cases, it suffices to show that $P_0 \cup P \cup C$ has a 4-*pc* decomposition. Let $P = P' \sqcup \tilde{P}$. If C intersects only one of the paths $P' - v$, $\tilde{P} - v$, then it is easy to see that $T \cup C$ is a hanging-square graph. Hence, C intersects both $P' - v$ and $\tilde{P} - v$. Let $V(C) \cap V(P') = x$, $V(C) \cap V(\tilde{P}) = y$, x' (resp. $\tilde{x}$) be the end vertex of P' (resp. $\tilde{P}$) distinct from v.

We suppose now that P is a 6-path. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$\ell(P'(x',x)) \leq \ell(\tilde{P}(\tilde{x},y))$. Let $C(x,y)$ denote the shortest path in C connecting x and y. Then, the following two paths form a 4-pc decomposition of $P_0 \cup P \cup C$,

$$P'(x',x) \cup C(x,y) \cup \tilde{P}(y,v) \cup P_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{P}(\tilde{x},y) \cup [C - C(x,y)] \cup P'(x,v).$$

□



Figure 4: All configurations in which P is a 4-path and Case 2 of Lemma 14 occurs.

Lemma 15. *Let H be a hanging-square graph, Q be a square of H and $V_q = V(Q) \setminus V(T_H)$. Let P' be a path such that $4 \leq \ell(P') \leq 7$, $E(P') \cap E(H) = \emptyset$ and $V(P') \cap V(H) \subset V_q$. If $H \cup P' \in \mathcal{G}$, then it has a 4-pc decomposition.*

Proof. First of all, by Observation 4, Proposition 6 and symmetry, we can assume that there is a brrb-path $P = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ that is the starting path of some building sequence of T_H such that if Q is a 1-bunch (resp. 2-bunch) with joint u, then $V(P) \cap V(Q) = \{u\} \in \{x_0, x_1\}$ (resp. P is occupied at x_0, x_2 by Q). Let $P' = u_1 \cdots u_l$ and $Q(x,y)$ denote the shortest path in Q connecting x and y.

As before, to prove that $H \cup P'$ has a 4-pc decomposition, it suffices to prove that $P \cup Q \cup P'$ has a 4-pc decomposition. We study cases according to the three possible values of $|V(P') \cap V_q|$. Observe that the situation $|V(P') \cap V_q| = 3$ cannot occur since $H \cup P'$ is triangle-free, $d_o(H \cup P') \geq 3$ and $\ell(P') \leq 7$. Thus, we only need to study the cases $|V(P') \cap V_q| \in \{1, 2\}$.

We suppose first that $|V(P') \cap V_q| = 1$. Let $V(P') \cap V_q = \{u_i\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\ell(P'(u_1, u_i)) \leq \ell(P'(u_i, u_l))$. Suppose that Q is a 1-bunch. If $\ell(P'(u_i, u_l)) \geq 3$ or $\ell(Q(u, u_i)) \geq 2$, then

$$P(x_0, u) \cup Q(u, u_i) \cup P'(u_1, u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad P(u, x_3) \cup [Q - Q(u, u_i)] \cup P'(u_i, u_l)$$

form a 4-*pc* decomposition of $P \cup Q \cup P'$. If $\ell(P'(u_i, u_l)) < 3$ and $\ell(Q(u, u_i)) < 2$, then $\ell(P'(u_i, u_l)) = \ell(P'(u_1, u_i)) = 2$ since P' has length at least 4, and $\ell(Q(u, u_i)) = 1$. Therefore,

$$P(x_0, u) \cup [Q - Q(u, u_i)] \cup P'(u_1, u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad P(u, x_3) \cup Q(u, u_i) \cup P'(u_i, u_l)$$

form a 4-*pc* decomposition of $P \cup Q \cup P'$. Suppose now that Q is a 2-bunch. In this case, a 4-pc decomposition of $P \cup Q \cup P'$ is formed of the following two paths

$$P(x_0, x_3) \cup Q(x_0, u_i) \cup P'(u_1, u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad [Q - Q(x_0, u_i)] \cup P'(u_i, u_l)$$

Let us now suppose that $|V(P') \cap V_q| = 2$. Let $V(P') \cap V_q = \{u_i, u_j\}$ with $i < j$. Suppose first that Q is a 1-bunch. Recall that its joint u is in $\{x_0, x_1\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\ell(Q(u, u_i)) \leq \ell(Q(u, u_j))$. Thus, $\ell(Q(u, u_i)) = 1$. Since $d_o(H \cup P') \geq 3$, the length of the path $P'(u_1, u_i)$ is at least 1. Therefore, the following two paths form a 4-pc decomposition of $P \cup Q \cup P'$

$$P(x_0, u) \cup [Q - Q(u, u_i)] \cup P'(u_1, u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad P(u, x_3) \cup Q(u, u_i) \cup P'(u_i, u_l).$$

Let us now suppose that Q is a 2-bunch. Recall that its joints are x_0 and x_2. In this case, the following pair of paths is a 4-pc decomposition of $P \cup Q \cup P'$

$$P'(u_1, u_i) \cup [Q - Q(x_2, u_i)] \cup P(x_2, x_3) \quad \text{and} \quad P(x_0, x_2) \cup Q(x_2, u_i) \cup P'(u_i, u_l).$$

□

Lemma 16. *Let H, H' be hanging-square graphs composed (only) of squares Q, Q' and brrb-paths P, P', respectively. Let $V_q = V(Q) \backslash V(T_H)$ and $V_{q'} = V(Q') \cap V(T_{H'})$. Assume that $E(H) \cap E(H') = \emptyset$ and $V(H) \cap V(H') \subset V_q \cap V_{q'} \neq \emptyset$. If $H \cup H' \in \mathcal{G}$, then it has a 4-pc decomposition.*

Proof. Note that $|V(Q) \cap V(Q')| \in \{1, 2\}$, otherwise $Q \cup Q'$ would contain a triangle. Let $P = x_0 \cdots x_3$, $P' = x'_0 \cdots x'_3$ and $Q(x, y)$ (resp. $Q'(x, y)$) be a longest path in Q (resp. Q') connecting x and y. In addition, observe that if Q and Q' were 2-bunches, then there would exist a path of length 2 connecting x_0 and x'_0, a contradiction to $d_o(H \cup H') \geq 3$. Hence, at least one of Q, Q' is a 1-bunch.

We first suppose that $V(Q) \cap V(Q') = \{v\}$. Suppose that Q, Q' are 1-bunches and let u, u' be their joints, respectively. Without loss of generality $u \in \{x_0, x_1\}$ and $u' \in \{x'_0, x'_1\}$. In this case, a 4-pc decomposition of $H \cup H'$ is formed of two paths, with one of them, the following

$$P(x_0, u) \cup Q(u, v) \cup Q'(u', v) \cup P'(x'_0, u').$$

We now assume that Q' is a 2-bunch with joints x'_0, x'_2 and Q is the 1-bunch previously described. We have that the following paths belongs to the desired 4-pc decomposition of $H \cup H'$ into two paths

$$Q'(x'_0, v) \cup Q(v, u) \cup P(u, x_3).$$

We can now assume that $V(Q) \cap V(Q') = \{v, w\}$ with $v \neq w$. As before, suppose that Q, Q' are 1-bunches with joints $v \in \{x_0, x_1\}$ and $v' \in \{x'_0, x'_1\}$. Observe that v, w are at distance one of v, v'. In this case, we see that one of the two paths in a 4-pc decomposition of $H \cup H'$ is $P(x_0, u) \cup Q(u, v) \cup [Q' - Q'(u', v)] \cup P'(u', x'_3)$. In the case that Q' is a 2-bunch with joints x'_0, x'_2, there exists a 4-pc decomposition into two paths, with one of the paths as follows

$$P(x_0, u) \cup Q(u, w) \cup [Q' - Q'(w, x'_2)] \cup P'(x'_0, x'_2).$$

□

Lemma 17. *Let T be a skeleton and P be a path of length at least 4 such that $T \cup P$ is a tree, $E(T) \cap E(P) = \emptyset$ and $V(T) \cap V(P) = \{v\}$, where v is not a leaf of P. If $T \cup P$ is not a skeleton and $d_o(T \cup P) \geq 3$, then it has a 4-pc decomposition.*

Proof. Let λ be the coloring of T. By Observation 4 and Proposition 6, there exists a brrb-path P' such that P' is the starting path of some building sequence of T, and if $\lambda(v)$ is black (resp. red), then v is an end vertex of P' (resp. an internal vertex of P'). Since P' is a starting path, it suffices to prove that $P' \cup P$ has a 4-pc decomposition. We consider the partition P_1, P_2 of P, where P_1 and P_2 have v as an end vertex. In the case that $\lambda(v)$ is black, we have that v is an odd vertex in T, and since $d_o(T \cup P) \geq 3$, we have that P_i has length at least 3, for each $i = 1, 2$. If $\ell(P_1) = \ell(P_2) = 4$, then $T \cup P$ is a skeleton, a case which we do not need to analyze. Therefore, without loss of generality we can assume that $\ell(P_1) \geq 5$, and then, $P' \cup P$ can be decomposed into P_1 and $P' \cup P_2$. Otherwise, $\lambda(v)$ is red, and then v is an even vertex in T and is at distance one (in T) of an odd vertex. And since $d_o(T \cup P) \geq 3$, we have that P_i has length at least 2, for each $i = 1, 2$. If $\ell(P_1) = \ell(P_2) = 3$, then $T \cup P$ is a skeleton, a case which we do not need to analyze. Hence, without loss of generality we can assume that $\ell(P_1) \geq 4$, and then, denoting by v' the end vertex of P' at distance one of v, we have that $P' \cup P$ can be decomposed into $P_1 \cup vv'$ and $(P' - vv') \cup P_2$. □

Lemma 18. *Let H be a hanging-square graph and Q be a square of H. Let C be a square such that $E(H) \cap E(C) = \emptyset$ and $V(H) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$. If $H \cup C \in \mathcal{G}$, the union $H \cup C$ is not a hanging-square graph and $[H - E(Q)] \cup C$ is a hanging-square graph, then $H \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition.*

Proof. Let $V_q = V(Q) \setminus V(T_H)$. If $V_q \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$, then the result follows by Lemma 13. Therefore, we assume that $V_q \cap V(C) = \emptyset$. Since $H \cup C$ is not a hanging-square graph, we can assume that there exists a brrb-path P in H such that $V(P) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 6, P is the starting path of some building sequence $P, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ of T_H. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $P = x_0x_1x_2x_3$ is occupied at x_0, x_2 by Q, in H. Then, either

(i) P is occupied at x_1, x_3 by C, in $[H - E(Q)] \cup C$, or

(ii) C is a 1-bunch with joint x_1, or

(iii) C is a 1-bunch with joint x_0 (resp. x_2) and there exists D, a building graph in $\{P_1, \ldots, P_t\}$ or a 1-bunch, with joint x_2 (resp. x_0) .

In cases (i) and (ii) it is easy to prove that $P \cup Q \cup C$ has a 4-pc decomposition. In case (iii), it can be shown that $P \cup Q \cup C \cup D$ decomposes into 3 paths of length at least 4. Further details of the proof are left to the reader. □

5 Concluding remarks

The results presented here grew out from our studies aiming at broadening the class of graphs for which Gallai's conjecture on path decomposition holds. Some known classes of graphs for which this conjecture holds are: (a) graphs in which all vertices are odd; (b) graphs in which the subgraph induced by the even vertices is such that every block is triangle-free and of maximum degree at most 3. In view of this, a natural question is whether there are graphs with many even vertices that also satisfy this conjecture. The class of graphs with odd distance at least 3 includes graphs that contain few odd vertices compared to the number of even vertices and seemed a natural class to study. Furthermore, in such graphs the even vertices may induce blocks containing vertices of very high degree.

These studies led us to the conclusion that if a graph is triangle-free and has odd distance at least 3, then either it has a 4-pc decomposition or it is a hanging-square graph. We showed that the latter is a well-structured recursive class of graphs with a number of interesting properties, that can be easily seen to satisfy Gallai's conjecture. We also showed that the graphs that admit a 4-pc decomposition also admit a path decomposition in which all paths have average length at least 4, and therefore satisfy Gallai's conjecture.

It would be interesting to broaden further the class of graphs for which properties on path (or path-cycle) decompositions can be well-characterized. In this direction, the study of the class of triangle-free graphs with odd distance at least 2 is a challenging problem. It is not so likely that a nice characterization (as in the case of odd distance at least 3) can be found, but it would be interesting to study path decomposition properties of such class of graphs.

Finally, we note that the class of hanging-square graphs can be recognized in polynomial time. To see this, let us say that a square in a graph G is *good* if it has either 2 vertices or 3 vertices of degree 2 in G. Given a triangle-free graph G with odd distance at least 3, we look for a square and check whether it is good. If yes, we look for a maximal bunch, say B, that contains it, and keep the information on its joints. Then, we delete the edges of B and repeat the process considering the resulting graph, while it is connected. If the resulting graph is not connected or if it contains cycles but none of them is a good square, then we can conclude that the original graph is not a hanging-square graph. If the resulting graph is a tree, say T, then we have to check whether it is a skeleton. It is not difficult to see that the latter step can be done in polynomial time, and that if T is a skeleton, then we can find in polynomial time a

building sequence $\mathcal{S} = P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_t$ of T. If T is not a skeleton, then the original graph is not a hanging-square graph. If T is a skeleton, we have to check whether all maximal bunches, whose edges were deleted previously, have its joints intersecting properly (according to Definition 3) the paths P_i of $\mathcal{S}$.

We only sketched the ideas behind a recognition algorithm for the hanging-square graphs, as this algorithmic aspect is not the focus of this paper, but we wanted to discuss its consequence. We note that, in view of Theorem 1, if a graph in $\mathcal{G}$ is a hanging-square graph, its certificate (the maximal bunches and the building sequence of its skeleton) is in fact a short certificate that it does not have a 4-pc decomposition. That is, deciding whether a graph in $\mathcal{G}$ is 4-pc decomposable is a problem in **coNP**. Since it is a problem in **NP**, it belongs to $\mathbf{NP} \cap \mathbf{coNP}$.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Fábio Botler for extensive discussions.

References

[1] A. Donald. An upper bound for the path number of a graph. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 4(2):189–201, 1980.

[2] G. Fan. Path decompositions and Gallai's conjecture. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 93(2):117–125, 2005.

[3] O. Favaron and M. Kouider. Path partitions and cycle partitions of Eulerian graphs of maximum degree 4. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 23:237–244, 1988.

[4] P. Harding and S. McGuinness. Gallai's conjecture for graphs of girth at least four. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 75(3):256–274, 2014.

[5] L. Lovász. On covering of graphs. In P. Erdös and G.O.H. Katona, editors, *Theory of Graphs*, Proceedings of the Colloquium held at Tihany, Hungary, 1966, pages 231–236. Academic Press, New York, 1968.

[6] L. Pyber. Covering the edges of a connected graph by paths. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 66(1):152–159, 1996.

[7] K. Seyffarth. Hajós' conjecture and small cycle double covers of planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 101:291–306, 1992.